



# Structure from Motion Using Rigidly Coupled Cameras without Overlapping Views

Dipl. Inf. Sandro Esquivel Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhard Koch

Multimedia Information Processing Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel

www.mip.informatik.uni-kiel.de



#### **Motivation**



- Various applications for camera systems with non-overlapping views
  - Omnidirectional imaging systems
  - See-through Augmented Reality devices
  - Advanced Driver Assistance Systems
- Computer vision benefits from large combined field of view
- Extrinsic calibration is complicated!



Point Grey Ladybug 5



Augmented Reality Binocular



Vehicle-mounted cameras



**Outline** 



- Motivation
- Multi-Camera Structure from Motion
- Enforcing Rigid Motion Constraints
- Tests and Evaluation
- Conclusion

























• Use large calibration object to find  $\Delta \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Delta t$ 







- Use large calibration object to find  $\Delta \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Delta t$
- Use local calibration object and mirrors

[Kumar, Ilie, Frahm & Pollefeys, 2008], [Hesch, Mourikis & Roumeliotis, 2009]







- Use large calibration object to find  $\Delta \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Delta t$
- Use local calibration object and mirrors
- Track objects between visual gaps

[Makris, Ellis & Black, 2004], [Jaynes, 2004], [Rahimi & Darrell, 2006]







- Use large calibration object to find  $\Delta \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Delta t$
- Use local calibration object and mirrors
- Track objects between visual gaps
- Knowledge about scene and motion needed!





#### **Eye-to-Eye Calibration**









#### www.mip.informatik.uni-kiel.de





#### Outline

- Motivation
- Multi-Camera Structure from Motion
- Enforcing Rigid Motion Constraints ("RMCE")
- Tests and Evaluation
- Conclusion





## **Rigid Motion Constraints**

- Chasles' theorem for rigid motion representation
  - Rotation by angle  $\alpha$  around 3d line with direction *r* ("screw axis")
  - Translation of length *p* along *r* ("*pitch*")







## **Rigid Motion Constraints**

- Local motion of rigidly coupled camera
  - Local rotation by angle  $\alpha$  around axis with direction  $\Delta \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{r}$
  - Local translation of length p along  $\Delta \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{r}$





# **Dual Quaternions**

Multimedia

Information

Processina

• Formal description of screw motions by dual quaternions:

$$\check{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{q}$$

$$\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}, q) = (\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2})\mathbf{r}, \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2})) \quad \text{fixed for rigidly coupled motions}$$

$$\mathbf{q}' = (\mathbf{q}', q') = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(\frac{\alpha}{2})\mathbf{t} + \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2})\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{r}, -\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2})\mathbf{t}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{r})$$
Quadratic constraints  $\|\mathbf{q}\| = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{q}' = 0$ 

• 3D transformation is quadratic in parameters:

$$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{t} = \check{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \check{\mathbf{q}}^* = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{q}^* + 2\mathbf{q}' \cdot \mathbf{q}^*$$
$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{I} + 2\mathbf{q}[\mathbf{q}]_{\times} + 2[\mathbf{q}]_{\times}^2$$
$$\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}') = 2(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{q}' \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}')$$







#### **Relative Pose Estimation**

$$y^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{E} \sim \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{t}]_{\times}$$
$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{q})^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{t}]_{\times}$$



 $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t})\mathbf{x})$ 

$$\min \sum_{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \boldsymbol{C}_{M}} f((\boldsymbol{q}_{M}, \boldsymbol{q}), \boldsymbol{t}_{M}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})^{2} + \sum_{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \boldsymbol{C}_{S}} f((\boldsymbol{q}_{S}, \boldsymbol{q}), \boldsymbol{t}_{S}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})^{2}$$
  
s.t.  $\boldsymbol{q}_{M}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{q}_{M} = \boldsymbol{q}_{S}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{q}_{S} = 1 - q^{2}$  and  $\boldsymbol{t}_{M}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{t}_{M} = \boldsymbol{t}_{S}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{t}_{S} = 1$ 





#### **Relative Scale Estimation**

$$y^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{E} \sim \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{t}]_{\times}$$
$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{q})^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{t}]_{\times}$$



- Translations  $t_{\rm M}$ ,  $t_{\rm S}$  are known up to (different!) scale
- Rescale  $t_{\rm S}$  with respect to the equal pitch constraint:

 $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{S}} \leftarrow \Delta \boldsymbol{s} \, \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{S}}$  with  $\Delta \boldsymbol{s} = (\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{M}}) / (\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{S}})$ 

• For absolute scale, measurement in master camera is needed!



Institut ///

## **Rigidly Coupled Structure from Motion**



www.mip.informatik.uni-kiel.de





#### **Absolute Pose Estimation**





 $f(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{x},\mathbf{X}) = d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{X}-t(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}')))$ 

$$\min \sum_{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{X}) \in \boldsymbol{C}_{M}} f((\boldsymbol{q}_{M},\boldsymbol{q}),(\boldsymbol{q'}_{M},\boldsymbol{q'});\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{X})^{2} + \sum_{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{X}) \in \boldsymbol{C}_{S}} f((\boldsymbol{q}_{S},\boldsymbol{q}),(\boldsymbol{q'}_{S},\boldsymbol{q'});\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{X})^{2}$$
  
s.t.  $\boldsymbol{q}_{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{q}_{M} = \boldsymbol{q}_{S}^{T}\boldsymbol{q}_{S} = 1 - q^{2}$  and  $\boldsymbol{q}_{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{q'}_{M} = \boldsymbol{q}_{S}^{T}\boldsymbol{q'}_{S} = -qq'$ 



Institut ///

## **Rigidly Coupled Structure from Motion**





**Outline** 



# Motivation

- Multi-Camera Structure from Motion
- Enforcing Rigid Motion Constraints
- Tests and Evaluation
- Conclusion



# Institut ///

#### **Evaluation with Synthetic Data**

- Camera setup: 10 cm distance, rotated by 30 to 120 degree
- Motion: 25 cm translation, 30 degree rotation
- 2D error:  $\sigma_{2d}$  = 1 pixel (640 x 480 image), 3D error:  $\sigma_{3d}$  = 0.5 cm







#### **Evaluation with Synthetic Data**

- Compute absolute poses from 20 correspondences per camera
- 2D error:  $\sigma_{2d} = 1$  pixel (640 x 480 image), 3D error:  $\sigma_{3d} = 0.5$  cm
- Compute eye-to-eye calibration following [Strobl & Hirzinger, 2006]







#### **Test with Rendered Video Sequence**

- Image size is 640 x 480 pixels, 140 images in sequence
- Virtual cameras are 25 cm apart, rotated by 15 degree
- Camera motion spans 1.5 meter translation, 60 degree rotation
- View overlap is <u>not</u> used in Structure from Motion!







### **Test with Rendered Video Sequence**

- Evaluate absolute pose estimation error for each camera and image
- Error typically accumulates over time ("drift")
- RMCE reduces drift effect







### **Test with Rendered Video Sequence**

- Error of final eye-to-eye calibration:
  - w/o RMCE: 1.21 degree orientation, 1.9 cm position
  - with RMCE: 0.49 degree orientation, 1.27 cm position







#### **Test with Real Video Sequence**

- Image size is 640 x 480 pixels, 120 images in sequence
- Cameras are approx. 24.5 cm apart, rotated by 17.2 degree
- Compute stereo calibration to compare with eye-to-eye calibration



left camera



right camera





#### **Test with Real Video Sequence**

- Difference between eye-to-eye calibration and stereo calibration:
  - w/o RMCE: 0.71 degree orientation, 4.1 cm position
  - with RMCE: 0.54 degree orientation, 2.5 cm position

Violation of rigid motion constraints without RMCE





**Outline** 



# Motivation

- Multi-Camera Structure from Motion
- Enforcing Rigid Motion Constraints
- Tests and Evaluation
- Conclusion





# Conclusion

# Results

- Rigid motion constraint enforcement (RMCE) can be easily integrated into the Structure from Motion pipeline
- Robustness of egomotion estimation is increased
- Eye-to-eye calibration from local egomotion is significantly improved

## **Future Work**

- Consider degenerate motion cases (e.g. planar motion)
- Investigate analytical solution of rigidly coupled pose estimation





# Thank you for your attention!







#### **Error Approximation for Relative Scale**

- Relative scale is computed from initial motion pitchs  $\Delta s = p_M / p_S$
- Approximate relative position error  $\varepsilon$  resulting from relative scale error:

 $1 + \varepsilon < (1 + \varepsilon_{\rm M}) p_{\rm M} / (1 - \varepsilon_{\rm S}) p_{\rm S}$ 

where  $\varepsilon_{\rm M}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\rm S}$  are relative position errors of initial camera poses.

- For given upper bound *E* on  $\varepsilon$ , errors  $\varepsilon_M$ ,  $\varepsilon_S$  are bounded by *E'*:  $E' = ((1 + E) p_S - p_M) / ((1 + E) p_S + p_M)$
- For  $p_{\rm M} \approx p_{\rm S}$  the upper bound is  $E' \approx E / (2 + E) \approx E / 2$





#### **Runtime Evaluation**

- Runtime is exponential in number of cameras
- Runtime is basically not effected by number of matches (up to ~200)
- Implementation can still be optimized

**Rigidly Coupled Relative Pose Estimation** 

