The Hilbert Transform on the Two-Sphere:
A Spectral Characterization

**Oliver Fleischmann · Lennart Wietzke ·
Gerald Sommer**

Abstract The local analysis of signals arising on the sphere is a common task in earth sciences. On the real line the analytic signal turned out to be an important representation in local one-dimensional signal processing. Its generalization to two dimensions is the monogenic signal, and the properties of the analytic and the monogenic signal in the Fourier domain are well known. A generalization to the sphere is given by the Hilbert transform on the sphere known from Clifford analysis. To obtain a spectral characterization, the transform has to be decomposed into spherical harmonic functions. In this paper, we derive the spherical harmonic coefficients of the Hilbert transform on the sphere and give a series expansion. This will show that it acts as a differential operator on the spherical harmonic basis functions of the Laplace equation solution, analogously to the Riesz transform in two dimensions. This allows an interpretation of the Hilbert transform suitable for signal processing of signals naturally arising on the two-sphere. We show that the scale space naturally arising is a Poisson scale space in the unit ball. In addition, the obtained interpretation of the Hilbert transform is used for orientation analysis of plane waves. This representation is justified as a novel signal model on the sphere which can be used to construct intensity and rotation-invariant operators for local signal analysis in a scale-space concept.

O. Fleischmann · L. Wietzke (✉) · G. Sommer
Department of Computer Science, Chair of Cognitive Systems Kiel University,
Christian-Albrechts-Platz 4, 24118 Kiel, Germany
e-mail: lw@ks.informatik.uni-kiel.de

O. Fleischmann
e-mail: ofl@ks.informatik.uni-kiel.de

G. Sommer
e-mail: gs@ks.informatik.uni-kiel.de

1 Introduction

Scientific disciplines like geophysics, astrophysics, and computer vision have to deal with input signals which are naturally defined on the unit sphere. These signals are, for instance, seismic or gravitational data captured around the earth (Crotwell 2000), satellite data (Freeden and Hesse 2002), cosmic microwave background (Mcewen et al. 2007), or omnidirectional images captured by a catadioptric camera (Bogdanova et al. 2007; Makadia et al. 2004). One seeks for local operators acting on functions naturally defined on the sphere to study their properties. Classic low-level operators are based on derivatives. Since derivatives act as high-pass filters, they are typically embedded in a scale-space framework, traditionally the Gaussian scale space, to select certain frequency bands. The Hilbert and Riesz transforms in the Euclidean space can be thought of as derivative filters without the high-pass characteristic, acting only on angular portions of a given function. This property justifies their function as useful operators for a local analysis, and provides a set of rotation-invariant local properties: the local amplitude, local orientation, and local phase. If we switch to the sphere instead of the Euclidean plane and work in a spherical coordinate system, we would like to be able to use derivatives with respect to the azimuthal and longitudinal angles. Furthermore, we want to use a local derivative-like operator, according to the Riesz transform in the plane. Hence, we seek an analogue of the Riesz transform in the plane on the sphere.

In this paper, we will construct a generalization of the analytic signal on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ with the help of the Hilbert and the Cauchy transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$. We proceed as follows: In Sect. 2 we introduce the mathematic preliminaries for our analysis on $\mathbb{S}^2$, in order to keep this paper self-contained. In Sect. 4 we identify the Cauchy transform as our new generalization of the Hilbert transform in a Poisson scale-space concept. We derive and discuss the filter kernels for our new signal model and give an important characterization in the spherical harmonic domain in terms of their spherical harmonic coefficients. While the scalar zonal Poisson or Abel–Poisson kernel is a standard tool in multiscale and texture analysis on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $SO(3)$ (Freeden et al. 1998; Schaeben 1996; Schaeben and van den Boogaart 2003; Bernstein et al. 2009), we investigate its harmonic conjugate function arising in the Clifford algebra embedding. The key to the spherical harmonic expansion is the identification of the Cauchy transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$ with a directional correlation introduced by Wiaux et al. (2006). With the spectral characterization and the resulting interpretation, we demonstrate the obtained scale space in Sect. 5, and analyze the results of the obtained kernels acting on the real part of a plane wave in $\mathbb{R}^3$ restricted to the sphere.

2 Preparation

Throughout this paper we work in the universal Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_{0,n}$ over the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{0,n}$ which is the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic signature $(0, n)$. For an orthonormal basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{0,n}$ the following multiplication rules arise in $\mathbb{R}_{0,n}$

$$e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}. \tag{1}$$

In the following, the notation $e_i e_j = e_{ij}$ is used. Now for sets $A = \{i_1, \ldots, i_h\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_h \leq n$ and $e_A = e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \ldots e_{i_h}$, the basis $(e_A : A \subseteq \{1 \ldots n\})$ forms a basis for the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_{0,n}$. Therefore an element $a \in \mathbb{R}_{0,n}$ allows the representation $a = \sum_{k=0}^{n} [a]_k$ where $[a]_k = \sum_{|A|=k} a_A e_A$ is called k-vector. Vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are identified with one-vectors $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j e_j$. The product of two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{0,n}$ is then defined by

$$xy = -\langle x, y \rangle + x \wedge y \tag{2}$$

where the inner product

$$x \bullet y = \langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i = -\frac{1}{2}(xy + yx) \tag{3}$$

results in a scalar, and the wedge product or outer product

$$x \wedge y = \sum_{i<j} e_i e_j (x_i y_j - x_j y_i) = -\frac{1}{2}(xy + yx) \tag{4}$$

results in a two-vector which is also known as a bivector. The conjugation in $\mathbb{R}_{0,n}$ is given by $\overline{e_i} = -e_i$ and therefore the conjugation of a vector x results in $\overline{x} = -x$.

In the following, $\mathbb{S}^2$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{B}^2$ the unit ball respectively. ξ, ω, will be elements of $\mathbb{S}^2$ described by the angles (θ, ϕ) and (α, β) where θ, α are zenithal angles and φ, β are azimuthal angles. In Cartesian coordinates they are written as $\xi = [\sin\theta \cos\varphi, \sin\theta \sin\varphi, \cos\theta]^T$ and $\omega = [\sin\alpha \cos\beta, \sin\alpha \sin\beta, \cos\alpha]^T$. Elements in $\mathbb{B}^2$ are denoted by x, y with $x = r\xi$, $y = r\omega$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that $x = [r \sin\theta \cos\varphi, r \sin\theta \sin\varphi, r \cos\theta]^T$ and $y = [r \sin\alpha \cos\beta, r \sin\alpha \sin\beta, r \cos\alpha]^T$. We identify the north pole η with $[0, 0, 1]^T$. Furthermore dS will denote the surface measure on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and A_3 denotes the surface area of $\mathbb{S}^2$. In order to expand a function in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ into a series, we introduce an orthonormal basis for functions in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$.

2.1 Spherical Harmonics

Standard spherical harmonics are defined as

$$Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) = \left[\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} \right]^{1/2} P_l^m(\cos\theta) e^{im\varphi} \tag{5}$$

where $P_l^m(x)$ are the associated Legendre functions

$$P_l^{(m)}(x) = (-1)^m \left(1 - x^2\right)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{dx^m}\left(P_l(x)\right) \tag{6}$$

and $P_l(x)$ are the Legendre polynomials given by the Rodrigues' formula

$$P_l(x) = \frac{1}{2^l l!} \frac{d^l}{dx^l}\left[\left(x^2 - 1\right)^l\right]. \tag{7}$$

The spherical harmonics form an orthonormal basis for the functions in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ can be expanded into a Fourier series as

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \hat{f}_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{8}$$

where the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{l,m}$ are obtained as

$$\hat{f}_{l,m} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta, \varphi) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)} \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi. \tag{9}$$

We will call the index l the frequency of a spherical harmonic and the index m the order, respectively. In the Euclidean plane the convolution operation is based on the multiplication of a translated filter kernel with the target function. On the sphere a translation corresponds to a rotation described by two angles. To expand convolution operations on the sphere into a series, we need an orthonormal basis for rotations which are representations of the group $SO(3)$.

2.2 Wigner-D Functions

According to the spherical harmonics, the Wigner-D functions

$$D_{m,n}^l(\rho) = D_{m,n}^l(\theta, \varphi, \psi) = e^{-im\psi} d_{m,m}^l(\cos \theta) e^{-in\varphi} \tag{10}$$

form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(SO(3))$ with respect to standard Haar measure. Hence the Fourier series expansion of a function $f \in L^2(SO(3))$ with $\rho \in SO(3)$ reads

$$f(\rho) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{2l+1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \sum_{n=-l}^{l} \hat{f}_{m,n}^l \overline{D_{m,n}^l(\rho)}. \tag{11}$$

3 Hilbert Transform in $\mathbb{R}^n$

The classic Hilbert transform on the real line for functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by the convolution with the Hilbert kernel

$$\mathcal{H}[f](x) = \left(f * \frac{1}{\pi} \right)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} \, dy \tag{12}$$

has a well-known interpretation in the Fourier domain

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{H}[f]](u) = -i \, \text{sgn}(u) \mathcal{F}[f](u). \tag{13}$$

It therefore justifies the interpretation as a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ phase shift for negative frequencies and a $\frac{-\pi}{2}$ phase shift for positive frequencies. Assuming a sinusoid signal model

$f(x) = A(x)\cos(\phi(x))$, where $\phi(x)$ denotes the local phase and $A(x)$ the local amplitude of f, its Hilbert transform is obtained as $\mathcal{H}[f](x) = A(x)\sin(\phi(x))$. The sinusoid signal model together with its Hilbert transform constitutes the analytic signal (see Gabor 1946)

$$f_a(x) = f(x) + i\mathcal{H}[f](x) = A(x)e^{i\phi(x)} \tag{14}$$

consisting of strictly positive frequencies in the Fourier domain. The analytic signal is a standard tool in signal processing used to obtain the local phase and local amplitude of sinusoid signals. It arises as the non-tangential boundary value of the Cauchy transform. The classical Cauchy integral formula is well known from complex analysis. Its generalization in the sense of Clifford analysis working in the real Clifford algebra $\mathbb{R}_{0,n}$ is given by

Definition 1 (Cauchy transform in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Delanghe (2004)) Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary ∂G, $x \in G$ and $f \in L^2(\partial G)$. Then the Cauchy transform of f in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}[f](x) = \frac{2}{A_n} \int_{\partial G} E(x - y)n(y)f(y)\, dS(y) \tag{15}$$

$$= \frac{2}{A_n} \int_{\partial G} \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^{(n+1)/2}} n(y)f(y)\, dS(y) \tag{16}$$

with dS as the surface element of ∂G, A_n the surface area of ∂G and $n(y)$ the outward pointing unit normal at y.

The Cauchy transform generates a monogenic function in G which solves the differential equation $\partial \mathcal{C}[f](x) = 0$, where ∂ is the Dirac operator. Additionally, the Cauchy transform splits into the Poisson transform and conjugate Poisson transform of f (Brackx et al. 2006)

$$\mathcal{C}[f](x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}[f](x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}[f](x) \tag{17}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2A_3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \omega|^3} f(\omega)\, dS(\omega) \tag{18}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2A_3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1 + |x|^2 + 2x\omega}{|x - \omega|^3} f(\omega)\, dS(\omega) \tag{19}$$

with non-tangential boundaries values of $\mathcal{P}[f](x)$ and $\mathcal{Q}[f](x)$ given by

$$\lim_{\text{n.t.}\, x \to \xi} \mathcal{P}[f](x) = f(\xi), \qquad \lim_{\text{n.t.}\, x \to \xi} \mathcal{Q}[f](x) = \mathcal{H}[f](\xi). \tag{20}$$

We can therefore define monogenic signals for any closed subset $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the non-tangential boundary value of the Cauchy transform on that surface. Furthermore, the Cauchy transform provides a natural embedding in a scale-space concept, the Poisson scale space. To emphasize the relationship

between the Cauchy transform and the analytic and monogenic signal representations we give some examples.

Example 1 ($G = \mathbb{R}_+^2$, $\partial G = \mathbb{R}$) In this case we obtained the classical analytic signal on the real line (Gabor 1946) with $x = (x_0, x_1)$, $x_0 > 0$

$$\lim_{\text{n.t. } x \to (0, x_1)} \mathcal{C}[f](x) = f(x) + i\mathcal{H}[f](x) = f_a(x). \tag{21}$$

Example 2 ($G = \mathbb{R}_+^3$, $\partial G = \mathbb{R}^2$) This case represents the monogenic signal (Felsberg and Sommer 2001) with $x = (x_0, \underline{x}) = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$, $x_0 > 0$ and the classical Riesz transforms (Stein 1971) R_{x_i}

$$\lim_{\text{n.t. } x \to (0, \underline{x})} \mathcal{C}[f](x) = f(x) + R_{x_1}[f](x)e_1 + R_{x_2}[f](x)e_2 = f_m(x). \tag{22}$$

4 Hilbert Transform on the Sphere

We have seen that the Cauchy transform is well defined for subsets $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary ∂G. The unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is one of these surfaces and therefore allows the construction of a monogenic signal with the help of the Cauchy transform. In the following we consider functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. We call such functions signals, which are band-limited such that there exists a maximum frequency $L \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\hat{f}_{l,m} = 0$ for $l \geq L$. In order to construct an analogue to the analytic and monogenic signal on $\mathbb{S}^2$, we proceed in accordance with (17). Using the Cauchy transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$ we obtain a splitting into the Poisson transform and the conjugate Poisson transform, which is the natural embedding of a monogenic signal on $\mathbb{S}^2$ in the Poisson scale space. Taking the non-tangential boundary values of the Cauchy transform and therefore of the Poisson and conjugate Poisson transforms, we obtain the original function f and its Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H}[f]$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Definition 2 (Cauchy transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$, Delanghe (2004)) Let $x \in \mathbb{B}^2 \setminus \mathbb{S}^2$ with $x = r\xi$, $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $0 < r < 1$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then the Cauchy transform of f on $\mathbb{S}^2$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}[f](x) = \frac{2}{A_3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} E(x - \omega)\omega f(\omega) \, dS(\omega) \tag{23}$$

$$= \frac{2}{A_3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{x - \omega}{|x - \omega|^3} \omega f(\omega) \, dS(\omega) \tag{24}$$

where $E(x - \omega)$ is the Cauchy kernel on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

In our analysis we want to be able to select certain frequency bands in the spectral spherical harmonic domain. Therefore we consider low-pass filtered versions of our signal in a linear scale-space in $\mathbb{B}^2$. Given two low-pass filters we construct a band-pass filter as the difference of the two low-pass filters. In the case of the monogenic signal the scale-space concept which arises naturally is the Poisson scale space in the

upper half space $\mathbb{R}_+^3$ (Felsberg and Sommer 2004). Analogously the scale space in this context is the Poisson scale space in $\mathbb{B}^2$ which arises naturally from the definition of the Hilbert transform being the non-tangential boundary value of the Cauchy transform (Delanghe et al. 1992). Therefore the Cauchy transform encompasses the Hilbert transform in the light of the Poisson scale space in the unit ball. The parameter r acts as the scale parameter. The more r tends towards the origin, the more blurred or smoothed versions of the original signal are obtained. As r moves towards 1, the original signal and its Hilbert transform are obtained. This leads to the following definition of a Hilbert transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Definition 3 (Hilbert transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$, Delanghe (2004)) Let $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then the Hilbert transform of f on $\mathbb{S}^2$ is defined as the Cauchy Principal Value integral

$$\mathcal{H}[f](\xi) = \frac{2}{A_3} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{\xi - \omega}{|\xi - \omega|^3} \omega f(\omega) \, dS(\omega). \tag{25}$$

Because of (17), we can analyze the Cauchy transform in two steps by analyzing the Poisson transform and the conjugate Poisson transform separately. Since the Cauchy transform generates a monogenic function, its component functions are harmonic in $\mathbb{S}^2$ with

$$\Delta \mathcal{P}[f](x) = 0, \qquad \Delta \mathcal{Q}[f](x) = 0 \tag{26}$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator in $\mathbb{B}^2$.

4.1 The Cauchy Transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$ as a Directional Correlation

It is desirable from a signal processing viewpoint to interpret the Cauchy transform as a filtering operation. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, linear filters are applied to signals by convolution. The convolution on the sphere is the integration over the group $SO(3)$ of the input signal and the filter, as proposed for example by Driscoll and Healy (1994). Since the Cauchy transform integrates over $\mathbb{S}^2$, it cannot immediately be identified with a spherical convolution operation. Nonetheless there exists an operation called directional correlation introduced in Wiaux et al. (2006):

Definition 4 (Directional correlation) Let $\rho \in SO(3)$, $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$ be the rotation operator associated with ρ and $h \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. The directional correlation of h and f in the direction ρ is given by

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)[h] \star f = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{h(\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\rho)\omega)} f(\omega) \, dS(w). \tag{27}$$

The directional correlation turns out to be a function in $L^2(SO(3))$. We want to analyze signals on the sphere locally, so we are not interested in the global Cauchy transform of a certain signal. Instead, every time we evaluate the Cauchy transform at some point on the sphere, we treat this point as the north pole η of a sphere, rotated to the evaluation point. The spherical coordinates (θ, φ) at which we evaluate

the Cauchy transform describe a rotation $\rho = (\theta, \varphi, 0)$. So with respect to original coordinate system we always evaluate the Cauchy transform at the north pole of a sphere in a rotated coordinate system. In that sense we obtain a local analysis of our signal for every point. Furthermore, this is the key to the expression of the Cauchy transform as a directional correlation. Suppose we want to evaluate the Cauchy transform at some fixed point $x = r\xi$. Now we choose this point x as the north pole η of some rotated coordinate system. Then the Cauchy kernel $E(x - \omega)$ is just a rotated version of the kernel $E(r\eta - \omega)$ centered at the north pole η. The evaluation of the Cauchy transform at a point $x = r\xi \in \mathbb{B}^2$ with spherical coordinates (r, θ, φ) reduces to the evaluation of the Cauchy transform at η rotated by $\rho = (\theta, \varphi, 0)$. Thinking of the Cauchy kernel as a filter mask, we always use the same filter mask centered at the north pole, but at every point we apply it to a rotated version of the original function. So for every point $x = r\xi$ we do not actually evaluate the transform with the kernel $E(r\xi - \omega)$ but we evaluate the transform as

$$\mathcal{C}[f](r, \theta, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} E(r\eta - \omega)\omega f\big(\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\theta, \varphi, 0)\omega\big)\, dS(\omega) \qquad (28)$$

$$= \mathcal{R}(\theta, \varphi, 0)[h] \star f. \qquad (29)$$

4.2 Fourier Series Expansion

The next step towards an intuitive interpretation of the Hilbert transform of the sphere is the series expansion of the directional correlation. As we have noticed, the directional correlation is a function in $L^2(SO(3))$. The Cauchy transform has been interpreted as a directional correlation evaluated for rotations corresponding to the Euler angle representations $(\theta, \varphi, 0)$. In this case the directional correlation is also known as a standard correlation on $\mathbb{S}^2$ according to Wiaux et al. (2006). We introduced the Wigner-D functions as an orthonormal basis for $L^2(SO(3))$. As a consequence, the directional correlation admits an expansion into a Fourier series on $SO(3)$ in terms of the Wigner-D basis functions. For a series expansion of the Cauchy transform we are in need of the series expansion coefficients. Wiaux et al. (2006) shows that the coefficients of the directional correlation can be evaluated in terms of the spherical harmonic coefficients of the function and the filter respectively as

$$\big[\widehat{\mathcal{R}[h] \star f}\big]_{m,n}^l = \overline{\hat{h}_{l,n}}\,\hat{f}_{l,m} \qquad (30)$$

such that the series expansion of the directional correlation reads

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)[h] \star f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \sum_{n=-l}^{l} \big[\widehat{\mathcal{R}[h] \star f}\big]_{m,n}^l \, \overline{D_{m,n}^l(\rho)}. \qquad (31)$$

Since we want to provide a spectral characterization of the Poisson and conjugate Poisson filter kernels, we have to expand them into a spherical harmonic series. The directional correlation in terms of the spherical harmonic coefficients is now used to evaluate the Cauchy transform. We seek for the spherical harmonic coefficients of the Cauchy kernel. Since the Cauchy transform splits into the Poisson transform and

Fig. 1 From *left* to *right*: the Poisson kernel $\mathcal{P}_r$ and the bivector parts of the conjugate Poisson kernel $\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}$ for $r = 0.9$

conjugate Poisson transform, we evaluate the coefficients of the Poisson and conjugate Poisson kernels in (18) and (19) separately. The Poisson kernel belongs to the set of zonal functions which are constant for all $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^2$ with $\langle \omega, \xi \rangle = c, c \in [-1, 1]$. The spectral characterization of these zonal functions is obtained by the Funk–Hecke theorem.

Theorem 1 (Funk–Hecke formula on $\mathbb{S}^2$, Freeden et al. (1998)) Let f be a zonal function on $\mathbb{S}^n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f\big(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle\big) Y_{l,m}(\omega)\, dS(\omega) = \lambda_l Y_{l,m}(\xi) \tag{32}$$

with the eigenvalue

$$\lambda_l = A_{n-1} \frac{1}{C_l^{(n-1)/2}(1)} \int_{-1}^{1} f(t) C_l^{(n-1)/2}(t)\big(1 - t^2\big)^{(n-2)/2}\, dt \tag{33}$$

where $C_n^{(n-1)/2}$ is the lth Gegenbauer polynomial of order $(n-1)/2$.

For $n = 2$ the Gegenbauer polynomials reduce to the Legendre polynomials. In that case, the above transform used to obtain the eigenvalues λ_l reduces to the Legendre transform. Using the Funk–Hecke theorem, the zonal Poisson kernel in $\mathbb{B}^2$, also known as the Abel–Poisson kernel, results in the series expansion (see Freeden et al. 1998)

$$\mathcal{P}_r(x, \omega) = \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \omega|^3} = \frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - 2r \cos\theta + r^2)^{(3/2)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k + 1) r^k P_n\big(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle\big). \tag{34}$$

Keeping in mind that we always evaluate the transforms at the north pole η with $x = x_3 e_3 = r\eta = r e_3$, $0 < r < 1$, the above series leads to the spherical harmonic coefficients

$$[\widehat{\mathcal{P}_r}]_{l,m} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{P}_r(r\eta, \omega) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)}\, dS(\omega) = \begin{cases} r^l & \text{for } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

For the expansion of conjugate Poisson kernel, we first rewrite the kernel as

$$\mathcal{Q}_r(x, \omega) = \frac{1 + |x|^2 + 2x\omega}{|x - \omega|^3} = \frac{1 + |x|^2 - 2r\omega_3}{|x - \omega|^3} - \frac{2r\omega_1 e_{13}}{|x - \omega|^3} - \frac{2r\omega_2 e_{23}}{|x - \omega|^3} \tag{36}$$

with $\omega = [\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta]^T$ and $x = r\eta$ such that $\langle x, \omega \rangle = \langle r\eta, \omega \rangle = r\cos\theta$. Since $x = r\eta = [0, 0, r]^T$, we have

$$|x - \omega|^3 = \left((-\omega_1)^2 + (-\omega_2)^2 + (r - \omega_3)^2\right)^{3/2} = \left(1 + r^2 - 2r\omega_3\right)^{3/2} \quad (37)$$

$$= \left(1 + |x|^2 - 2r\omega_3\right)\left(1 + |x|^2 - 2r\omega_3\right)^{1/2} \quad (38)$$

such that

$$\frac{1 + |x|^2 - 2r\omega_3}{|x - \omega|^3} = \frac{1}{(1 + r^2 - 2r\omega_3)^{1/2}}. \quad (39)$$

The generating function $\frac{1}{(1+r^2-2r\omega_3)^{1/2}}$ has the series expansion in terms of the Legendre polynomials (see Jeffrey 2000)

$$\frac{1}{(1 + r^2 - 2r\omega_3)^{1/2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k P_n\left(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k P_n(\cos\theta). \quad (40)$$

Accordingly, the generating function $\frac{1}{(1+|x|^2-2r\omega_3)^{3/2}}$ expands into a series of the Gegenbauer polynomials (see Jeffrey 2000)

$$\frac{1}{(1 + |x|^2 - 2r\omega_3)^{3/2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k C_n^{3/2}\left(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k C_n^{3/2}(\cos\theta). \quad (41)$$

Therefore we obtain a series expansion of the conjugate Poisson kernel in terms of Legendre and Gegenbauer polynomials as

$$\mathcal{Q}_r(x, \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k P_n(\cos\theta) \quad (42)$$

$$- 2r\omega_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k C_k^{3/2}(\cos\theta)e_{13} - 2r\omega_2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k C_k^{3/2}(\cos\theta)e_{23} \quad (43)$$

$$= \mathcal{Q}_r^{(0)}(x, \omega) - 2r\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}(x, \omega)e_{13} - 2r\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}(x, \omega)e_{23}. \quad (44)$$

While the Poisson kernel only consists of a scalar part, the conjugate Poisson kernel splits into a scalar and two bivector parts. The scalar part of the conjugate Poisson kernel is equal to the ordinary Poisson kernel. Figure 1 shows the Poisson kernel and the two bivector parts of the conjugate kernel at a certain scale. We treat the scalar and bivector parts of the conjugate Poisson kernel as three single kernels. For every single kernel the Fourier coefficients are supposed to be determined. In order to obtain the Fourier coefficients of the kernels, we express all parts of the kernels as series depending on the standard spherical harmonics. We introduce the addition theorem for Gegenbauer polynomials with spherical coordinates (r_1, θ, ϕ)

and (r_2, α, β), subtended by the angle γ at the origin, Srinivasan et al. (2005), as

$$C_n^\lambda(\cos\gamma) = 4\pi \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left\langle \mathbf{Y}_{n,m}(\theta, \phi), \overline{\mathbf{Y}_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta)} \right\rangle \quad (45)$$

with the vector of scalar spherical harmonics

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n,m}(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{l,-l} \\ Y_{l,-l+1} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{l,l} \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)$$

where $l = n - 2m$, resulting in

$$C_n^\lambda(\cos\gamma) = 4\pi \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} Y_{l,j}(\theta, \phi) \overline{Y_{l,j}}(\alpha, \beta). \quad (47)$$

In addition we expand ω_1 and ω_2 into a Fourier series with the Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{[\omega_1]}_{l,m} = \int_{\varphi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \sin\theta \cos\varphi \overline{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)} \, d\theta \, d\varphi = \begin{cases} \pm\sqrt{\dfrac{2\pi}{3}} & \text{for } m = \mp 1, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

$$\widehat{[\omega_2]}_{l,m} = \int_{\varphi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \sin\theta \sin\varphi \overline{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)} \, d\theta \, d\varphi = \begin{cases} i\sqrt{\dfrac{2\pi}{3}} & \text{for } m = \pm 1, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

such that

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \widehat{[\omega_1]}_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\dfrac{2\pi}{3}} Y_{1,-1}(\theta, \varphi) - \sqrt{\dfrac{2\pi}{3}} Y_{1,1}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (50)$$

$$\omega_2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \widehat{[\omega_1]}_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) = i\sqrt{\dfrac{2\pi}{3}} Y_{1,-1}(\theta, \varphi) + i\sqrt{\dfrac{2\pi}{3}} Y_{1,1}(\theta, \varphi). \quad (51)$$

We determine the Fourier coefficients of the conjugate Poisson kernel bivector parts as

$$\widehat{\left[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}\right]}_{l,m} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \quad (52)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \omega_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k C_k^{3/2}(\cos\theta) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \quad (53)$$

$$\overset{l'=k-2m'}{=} 4\pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \omega_1 \sum_{m'=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=-l'}^{l'} Y_{l',j}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l',j}(0)} \, \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \quad (54)$$

$$= 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \tag{55}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{1,-1}(\omega) \sum_{m'=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=-l'}^{l'} Y_{l',j}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l',j}}(0) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \tag{56} \right.$$

$$\left. - \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{1,1}(\omega) \sum_{m'=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=-l'}^{l'} Y_{l',j}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l',j}}(0) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \right) \tag{57}$$

$$= 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \tag{58}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{m'=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \overline{Y_{l',0}}(0) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{1,-1}(\omega) Y_{l',0}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \tag{59} \right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_{m'=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \overline{Y_{l',0}}(0) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{1,1}(\omega) Y_{l',0}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \right). \tag{60}$$

Since $\overline{Y_{l,m}}(\omega) = (-1)^m Y_{l,-m}(\omega)$, the triple integrals evaluate to (Thompson 1994)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{1,1}(\omega) Y_{l',0}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \tag{61}$$

$$= (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2+1)(2l'+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ 1 & 0 & (-m) \end{pmatrix} \tag{62}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{1,-1}(\omega) Y_{l',0}(\omega) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\omega)} \, dS(\omega) \tag{63}$$

$$= (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2+1)(2l'+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ -1 & 0 & (-m) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{64}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$ are the Wigner 3j-symbols. The Wigner 3j-symbols evaluate to zero unless they satisfy

$$\text{(i)} \quad |l_1 - l_3| \le l_2 \le l_1 + l_2, \tag{65}$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad m_1 + m_2 = -m_3. \tag{66}$$

Therefore it holds that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } l' = l-1 \vee l' = l \vee l' = l+1, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \tag{67}$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ \pm 1 & 0 & (-m) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l' & l \\ \pm 1 & 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } m = \mp 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}
\tag{68}
$$

Closed expressions for the Wigner 3j-symbols used here are given in Baylis (2004)

$$
\begin{pmatrix} k & k & 1 \\ q & -q & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-)^{k-q} \frac{q}{[k(k+1)(2k+1)]^{1/2}},
\tag{69}
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} k & k+1 & 1 \\ q & -q-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (-)^{k-q} \left[\frac{(k+q+1)(k+q+2)}{2(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)} \right]^{1/2},
\tag{70}
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} k & k+1 & 1 \\ q & -q & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-)^{k-q} \left[\frac{(k-q-1)(k+q+1)}{(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)} \right]^{1/2}.
\tag{71}
$$

With (69) it follows that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & l & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ such that $l' = l-1 \vee l+1$. For $l' = l-1$ we use (71) with $k = l-1$, $q = 0$ and (70) with $k = l-1$, $q = 0$ to obtain

$$
(-1)^m Y_{l-1,0}(0)\sqrt{\frac{(2+1)(2(l-1)+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l-1 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l-1 & l \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\tag{72}
$$

$$
= (-)^{l-1}\sqrt{\frac{2l-1}{4\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{3(2l-1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}}
\tag{73}
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{\frac{l^2}{l(2l-1)(2l+1)}}\sqrt{\frac{l(l+1)}{2l(2l-1)(2l+1)}}
\tag{74}
$$

$$
= (-)^{l-1}\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{3l(l+1)}{2(2l+1)}},
\tag{75}
$$

$$
(-1)^m Y_{l+1,0}(0)\sqrt{\frac{(2+1)(2(l+1)+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & l \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\tag{76}
$$

$$
= (-)^l\sqrt{\frac{2l+3}{4\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{3(2l+3)(2l+1)}{4\pi}}
\tag{77}
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{\frac{(l+1)^2}{(l+1)(2l+1)(2l+3)}}\sqrt{\frac{l(l+1)}{2(l+1)(2l+1)(2l+3)}}
\tag{78}
$$

$$
= (-)^l\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{3l(l+1)}{2(2l+1)}}.
\tag{79}
$$

The only contributing terms in the sums are the expressions with $l' = l-1 \vee l+1$. Since $l' = k-2m$, this is only the case for $k = (l-1)+2n$, $n \geq 0$. For $k = l-1$ this is only true for $n = 0$. For all other k there are always two solutions, but as we have seen, they only differ in their sign. Therefore the sum for all $k > l-1$ evaluates to

zero such that

$$\widehat{\left[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}\right]}_{l,\pm 1} = \mp 2r4\pi\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{3l(l+1)}{2(2l+1)}}r^{l-1} = \mp\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\,l(l+1)}{(2l+1)}}r^l, \quad (80)$$

$$\widehat{\left[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}\right]}_{l,\pm 1} = \mp 2r4\pi\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{3l(l+1)}{2(2l+1)}}r^{l-1} = i\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\,l(l+1)}{(2l+1)}}r^l. \quad (81)$$

The spherical harmonic coefficients characterize the filter kernels in the frequency domain. As one notices, the coefficients of the scalar part are zero for $m \neq 0$, whereas the coefficients of the bivector parts are zero for $m \neq \pm 1$. The factor r^l indicates the low-pass behavior of all filter parts since $0 < r < 1$. Using the spherical harmonic coefficients, the Poisson and conjugate Poisson transforms can now be expressed as a directional correlation in the spherical harmonic domain, according to (31), as

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)[\mathcal{P}_r] \star f = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m}\overline{D_{m,0}^l(\rho)} = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m}\overline{Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi)}, \quad (82)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)\left[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}\right] \star f = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi l(l+1)}{(2l+1)}}r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m}\left(\overline{D_{m,-1}^l(\rho)} - \overline{D_{m,1}^l(\rho)}\right), \quad (83)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)\left[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}\right] \star f = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} i\sqrt{\frac{4\pi l(l+1)}{(2l+1)}}r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m}\left(\overline{D_{m,-1}^l(\rho)} + \overline{D_{m,1}^l(\rho)}\right). \quad (84)$$

Since we evaluate the correlation for rotations $\rho = (\theta, \varphi, 0)$, the Wigner-D functions reduce to spin-weighted spherical harmonics (Wiaux et al. 2006)

$$_nY_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) = (-1)^n\sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}\overline{D_{m,n}^l(\theta,\varphi,0)}. \quad (85)$$

We define the spin raising and lowering operators (Newman and Penrose 1966) as

$$[\eth\,_nG](\theta,\phi) = \left[-\sin^n\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right)\sin^{-n}\theta\,_nG\right](\theta,\varphi),$$
$$[\bar{\eth}\,_nG](\theta,\phi) = \left[-\sin^n\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right)\sin^{-n}\theta\,_nG\right](\theta,\varphi). \quad (86)$$

With these operators the spin-weighted spherical harmonics are constructed from the scalar spherical harmonics as

$$_nY_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) = \left[\frac{(l-n)!}{(l+n)!}\right]^{1/2}\left[\eth^n Y_{l,m}\right](\theta,\varphi) \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq l,$$
$$_nY_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) = \left[\frac{(l-n)!}{(l+n)!}\right]^{1/2}(-1)^n\left[\bar{\eth}^n Y_{l,m}\right](\theta,\varphi) \quad \text{for } -l \leq n \leq 0. \quad (87)$$

With $\rho = (\theta, \varphi, 0)$, $\omega = (\theta, \varphi) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, the correlations in (83) and (84) are reduced to (see Fig. 2)

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)\big[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}\big] \star f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m}\big(_{-1}Y_{l,m}(\omega) - {}_1Y_{l,m}(\omega)\big) \tag{88}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_{l,m}(\omega), \tag{89}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)\big[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}\big] \star f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} i\, r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m}\big(_{-1}Y_{l,m}(\omega) + {}_1Y_{l,m}(\omega)\big) \tag{90}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l \widehat{f}_{l,m} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} Y_{l,m}(\omega). \tag{91}$$

The del operator in a spherical coordinate system, which coincides with the spherical gradient operator in the case of a scalar valued function, with basis vectors e_r, e_θ, e_φ, is defined as

$$\nabla = e_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + e_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + e_\varphi \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}. \tag{92}$$

It acts on a function $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ as

$$(\nabla f)(\omega) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \widehat{f}_{l,m}(\nabla Y_{l,m})(\omega) \tag{93}$$

$$= e_\theta \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \widehat{f}_{l,m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_{l,m}(\omega) + e_\varphi \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \widehat{f}_{l,m} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} Y_{l,m}(\omega). \tag{94}$$

Comparing (94) with (89) and (91), one notices that the conjugate Poisson transform acts like a gradient operator, but instead of acting on the original function, it acts on the solution of the Laplace equation.

Fig. 2 Outputs of the filters $\mathcal{R}(\rho)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}]$ and $\mathcal{R}(\rho)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}]$ at the scale $r = 0.99$

5 Applications

5.1 Poisson Scale Space

The Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}[f]$ acts as a low-pass filter in the spherical harmonic domain on the frequencies l. Furthermore, the Poisson transform generates a harmonic function and solves the Laplace equation in $\mathbb{B}^2$ with boundary values on $\mathbb{S}^2$. It constitutes a linear scale space in $\mathbb{B}^2$ with scale parameter $r = e^{-p}$ for $p \in [0, \infty)$ such that $0 < r < 1$. With this choice for r, for any $p_1, p_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{p_2}\big[\mathcal{P}_{p_1}[f]\big] = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} e^{-p1} e^{-p2} \hat{f}_{l,m} Y_{l,m} \tag{95}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} e^{-(p1+p2)} \hat{f}_{l,0} Y_{l,m} = \mathcal{P}_{p_1+p_2}[f] \tag{96}$$

since we can apply the spherical convolution theorem according to Driscoll and Healy (1994) to the convolution with the zonal Poisson kernel. The Poisson transform therefore fulfills the semigroup property. Furthermore, it holds that $P[f] >= 0$ for every $f >= 0$ due to the positivity of the kernel. Since the Poisson transform solves the Laplace equation, which is the diffusion equation with constant source term, it is a diffusion semigroup operator on $\mathbb{S}^2$. The maximum and minimum values of harmonic functions in $\mathbb{B}^2$ lie on the boundary $\mathbb{S}^2$. In addition, it holds for a harmonic function that every function value at some $x \in \mathbb{B}^2$ is equal to the average over an arbitrary sphere around x in $\mathbb{B}^2$. It therefore generates no additional local extremum. These properties suggest the Poisson kernel as a smoothing filter. Figure 3 shows the Poisson transform at different scales on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

5.2 Plane Wave Analysis

We want to determine the orientation of a plane wave $a(t)e^{i\langle x,y\rangle}$ and the phase with respect to the $\theta - \varphi$ plane in our local spherical coordinate system (see Fig. 4). The direction of the plane wave is given by $y = [k \sin\alpha \cos\beta, k \sin\alpha \sin\beta, \ k \cos\alpha]^T$ where k is the frequency and $a(t)$ denotes the amplitude of the plane wave. Without loss of generality, we can always choose the point ξ at which we evaluate the filter operations as the north pole $\xi = (\theta, \varphi) = (0, 0)$. It is well known (Baylis 2004) that a


**Fig. 3** *Top row*: Poisson filtered images at decreasing scales

Fig. 4 From *left* to *right*: orientation angle β of the plane wave in the $\theta - \varphi$ plane with respect to the local coordinate system. Phase ϕ of the plane wave with respect to the local coordinate system

plane wave in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can be expanded in terms of spherical harmonics as

$$f(x) = a(t)e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} = a(t) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \hat{f}_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{97}$$

with

$$\hat{f}_{l,m} = i^l j_l(rk) \overline{Y_{l,m}(\alpha, \beta)}, \tag{98}$$

where $x = r\xi$, $y = k\omega$ and j_l is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind and order l. The expansion splits the plane wave into an angular part represented by the spherical harmonics and a part depending on the frequency of the wave described by the spherical Bessel function. Due to the nature of our filter kernels, these act only on the angular portions of the plane wave. The frequency information k is encoded as the argument of the Bessel function and is left untouched by our filter set. Using (31) and the property $D^l_{m,0} = (\frac{4\pi}{2l+1})^{1/2} \overline{Y_{l,m}}$ in conjunction with the Wigner-D function addition theorem (Pendleton 2003)

$$\sum_{m=-l}^{l} D^l_{m,0}(\alpha, \beta, 0) \overline{D^l_{m,1}(\theta, \varphi, 0)} \tag{99}$$

$$= \overline{D^l_{0,1}(\alpha', \beta', 0)} = D^l_{1,0}(\alpha, \beta, 0), \tag{100}$$

the conjugate Poisson transforms of f, assuming that they are evaluated at the north pole, read

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)\big[\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}_r\big] \star f = a(t) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l i^l j_l(rk) P^1_l(\cos\alpha) \cos\beta, \tag{101}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\rho)\big[\mathcal{Q}^{(2)}_r\big] \star f = a(t) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} r^l i^l j_l(rk) P^1_l(\cos\alpha) \sin\beta, \tag{102}$$

Fig. 5 From *left* to *right*: amplitude, orientation and phase output of the filters with a filter mask size of 9 pixels and a scale of $r = 0.99$

where P_l^m are the associated Legendre functions. The angle β is obtained as

$$\beta = \arctan \frac{R(\xi)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}] \star f}{R(\xi)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}] \star f}. \tag{103}$$

Since

$$P_l^1(\cos\alpha) = -\sin\alpha \frac{d}{d\cos\alpha} P_l(\cos\alpha), \tag{104}$$

we notice that the bivector parts of the conjugate Poisson transform both act as differential operators with respect to $\cos\alpha$.

We obtain the phase ϕ

$$\phi = \arctan \sqrt{\frac{(\mathcal{R}(0)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(1)}] \star f)^2 + (\mathcal{R}(0)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(2)}] \star f)^2}{(\mathcal{R}(0)[\mathcal{Q}_r^{(0)}] \star f)^2}}. \tag{105}$$

Note that the determination of the orientation of β and ϕ is invariant against the amplitude $a(t)$.

Figure 5 shows the filter output of the amplitude, the local orientation and the phase obtained by our filter set. It acts as the analogue to the classical Riesz transform in the plane which has been used to obtain local amplitude, orientation and phase of plane waves in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

6 Conclusions

We have given a spectral expression for the Hilbert transform on $\mathbb{S}^2$ in terms of spherical harmonic coefficients, which provides an intuitive way of interpretation. The result was the important fact that the Hilbert transform on the sphere acts as a differential operator on the solution of the Poisson equation with boundary data on the sphere. It provides the partial derivatives with respect to the angles θ, φ. For functions which can be expanded into the product of a radial and an angular part, with frequency information encoded in the angular part, this results in differential operator without a high-pass characteristic. This justifies the transform as the spherical analogue of the Riesz transform where the partial derivatives in the Fourier domain of the Poisson

equation solution are taken with respect to the Cartesian coordinates. The Hilbert transform on the sphere naturally arises from the Cauchy transform which defines a Poisson scale space in the unit ball. This might be treated as the counterpart in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It can be used for smoothing operations or singularity analysis for feature detection. Furthermore, the orientation of plane waves arising in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ can be analyzed providing a mechanism for orientation analysis on $\mathbb{S}^2$ without steering. Due to their derivative character, feature detectors in the plane based on derivatives might be transferred to the sphere to provide a robust feature detection adapted to the geometry of the sphere.

As we have seen, we can use the Hilbert transform for taking derivatives with respect to the angles in the spherical harmonic domain. Using the integral formula of (15), this differentiation can be carried out in the spatial domain without using the spherical harmonic transform on the sphere. A requirement is a proper sampling scheme on the sphere and a suitable quadrature formula. This turns out to be more stable than applying the operations in the spherical harmonic domain. Future work might include the derivation of higher-order Hilbert transforms on $\mathbb{S}^2$ in order to analyze features provided by higher-order derivatives. Furthermore, it is desirable to obtain the instantaneous phase and amplitude of certain signals on the sphere and study singularities arising in the Poisson scale space for feature detection in an intensely invariant manner.

References

Baylis WE (2004) Electrodynamics: a modern geometric approach. Progress in mathematical physics. Birkhäuser, Boston. Corrected edition, January 2004

Bernstein S, Hielscher R, Schaeben H (2009) The generalized totally geodesic Radon transform and its application to texture analysis. Math Methods Appl Sci 32(4):379

Bogdanova I, Bresson X, Thiran JP, Vandergheynst P (2007) Scale space analysis and active contours for omnidirectional images. IEEE Trans Image Process 16(7):1888–1901

Brackx F, De Knock B, De Schepper H, Eelbode D (2006) On the interplay between the Hilbert transform and conjugate harmonic functions. Math Methods Appl Sci 29(12):1435–1450

Crotwell P (2000) Constructive approximation on the sphere. Math Geol 32(2):247–248

Delanghe R (2004) On some properties of the Hilbert transform in Euclidean space. Bull Belg Math Soc S Stevin 11(2):163–180

Delanghe R, Sommen F, Soucek V (1992) Clifford algebra and spinor-valued functions: a function theory for the Dirac operator. Mathematics and its applications, 1st edn. Springer, Berlin

Driscoll JR, Healy DM Jr (1994) Computing Fourier transforms and convolutions on the 2-sphere. Adv Appl Math 15(2):202–250. ISSN 0196-8858

Felsberg M, Sommer G (2001) The monogenic signal. IEEE Trans Signal Process 49(12):3136–3144

Felsberg M, Sommer G (2004) The monogenic scale-space: a unifying approach to phase-based image processing in scale-space. J Math Imaging Vis 21(1):5–26

Freeden W, Hesse K (2002) On the multiscale solution of satellite problems by use of locally supported kernel functions corresponding to equidistributed data on spherical orbits. Stud Sci Math Hung 39(1):37–74

Freeden W, Gervens T, Schreiner M (1998) Constructive approximation on the sphere. Clarendon Press, Oxford

Gabor D (1946) Theory of communication. J IEE (Lond) 93:429–457

Jeffrey A, Zwillinger D (eds) (2000) Table of integrals, series, and products, 6th edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam

Makadia A, Sorgi L, Daniilidis K (2004) Rotation estimation from spherical images. In: ICPR '04: Proceedings of the pattern recognition, 17th international conference on (ICPR'04), vol 3, Washington, DC, USA. IEEE Computer Society, New York, pp 590–593

Mcewen JD, Vielva P, Wiaux Y, Barreiro RB, Cayon L, Hobson MP, Lasenby AN, Martinez-Gonzalez E, Sanz JL (2007) Cosmological applications of a wavelet analysis on the sphere. J Fourier Anal Appl 13(4):495–510 ISSN 1069-5869

Newman ET, Penrose R (1966) Note on the Bondi–Metzner–Sachs group. J Math Phys 7:863

Pendleton DJ (2003) Euler angle geometry, helicity basis vectors, and the Wigner D-function addition theorem. Am J Phys 71(12):1280–1291

Schaeben H (1996) A unified view of methods to resolve the inverse problem of texture goniometry. Textures Microstruct 25(2):171–182

Schaeben H, van den Boogaart KG (2003) Spherical harmonics in texture analysis. Tectonophysics 370(1–4):253–268

Srinivasan K, Mahawar H, Sarin V (2005) A multipole based treecode using spherical harmonics for potentials of the form r^λ. In: Computational science (ICCS 2005). Lecture notes in computer science, vol 3514. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, pp 107–114

Stein EM (1971) Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions (PMS-30). Princeton University Press, Princeton

Thompson WJ (1994) Angular momentum: an illustrated guide to rotational symmetries for physical systems. Wiley, New York

Wiaux Y, Jacques L, Vielva P, Vandergheynst P (2006) Fast directional correlation on the sphere with steerable filters. Astrophys J 652(1):820–832