

# ABSTRACT LAMBDA CALCULUS MACHINES

**Werner Kluge**

Dept of Computer Science

University of Kiel

D-24105 Kiel/Germany

wk@informatik.uni-kiel.de

[www.informatik.uni-kiel.de/inf/Kluge/index-de.html](http://www.informatik.uni-kiel.de/inf/Kluge/index-de.html)

## Milestones of $\lambda$ -calculus machine development

- > 1974/75 proposal by **Klaus Berkling** at GMD in St. Augustin/Germany of a string reduction machine with full support of an applied  $\lambda$ -calculus;
- > 1979 completion of the design of a hardware prototype of this machine at GMD in St. Augustin/Germany > the first reduction machine worldwide;
- > 1983 successful implementation at the U of Bonn/Germany of a system of cooperating reduction machines for divide-and-conquer computations based on Berkling's original  $\lambda$ -calculus machine concept;
- > 1990 completion of an interpreting graph reducer for a full-fledged  $\lambda$ -calculus that faithfully performs high-level program transformations;
- > 1994 completion of a compiling graph reducer for a full-fledged  $\lambda$ -calculus with competitive runtime performance;
- > 1996/2000 distributed implementation of the compiling graph reducer on an **ncube** multiprocessor system, also supporting speculative evaluation.

## A small SCHEME program

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

A small SCHEME program

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( twice square 2 ) --> 16
```

## A small SCHEME program

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( twice square 2 ) --> 16
```

```
( twice square ) --> procedure twice: expects 2 args,  
given 1 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

## A small SCHEME program

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( twice square 2 ) --> 16
```

```
( twice square ) --> procedure twice: expects 2 args,  
given 1 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

```
( twice twice ) --> procedure twice: expects 2 args,  
given 1 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

## A small SCHEME program

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( twice square 2 ) --> 16
```

```
( twice square ) --> procedure twice: expects 2 args,  
given 1 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

```
( twice twice ) --> procedure twice: expects 2 args,  
given 1 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

```
( twice twice square )  
--> procedure twice: expects 2 args,  
given 1 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```



Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )           --> 16
```

Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )          --> 16
```

```
( ( ( twice twice ) square ) 2 ) --> 65536
```

## Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )          --> 16
```

```
( ( ( twice twice ) square ) 2 ) --> 65536
```

```
( ( twice twice square ) 2 )  
  --> procedure twice: expects 1 arg,  
       given 2 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

## Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )          --> 16
```

```
( ( ( twice twice ) square ) 2 ) --> 65536
```

```
( ( twice twice square ) 2 )  
  --> procedure twice: expects 1 arg,  
      given 2 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

```
( twice twice )                --> ( lambda (a1) ... )
```

## Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )          --> 16
```

```
( ( ( twice twice ) square ) 2 ) --> 65536
```

```
( ( twice twice square ) 2 )  
  --> procedure twice: expects 1 arg,  
      given 2 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

```
( twice twice )                --> ( lambda (a1) ... )
```

```
( ( twice twice ) square )     --> ( lambda (a1) ... )
```

## Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )          --> 16
```

```
( ( ( twice twice ) square ) 2 ) --> 65536
```

```
( ( twice twice square ) 2 )  
  --> procedure twice: expects 1 arg,  
      given 2 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

```
( twice twice )                --> ( lambda (a1) ... )
```

```
( ( twice twice ) square )     --> ( lambda (a1) ... )
```

One would wish / expect to get the following:



## Modifying twice

```
( define twice ( lambda ( f )  
                  ( lambda ( u ) ( f ( f u ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( define square ( lambda ( v ) ( * v v ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice square ) 2 )          --> 16
```

```
( ( ( twice twice ) square ) 2 ) --> 65536
```

```
( ( twice twice square ) 2 )  
  --> procedure twice: expects 1 arg,  
      given 2 : ( lambda(a1) ... )
```

One would wish / expect to get the following:

```
( twice twice ) --> ( lambda ( u' ) ( lambda ( u )  
                                     ( u' ( u' ( u' ( u' u ) ) ) ) ) )
```

```
( ( twice twice ) square )  
  --> ( lambda ( u )  
        ( * ( * ( * ( * u u ) ( * u u ) )  
              ( * ( * u u ) ( * u u ) ) ) ( ... ) ) )
```



## The cause of the problem

→ all functional / function-based languages are based on a **weakly normalizing  $\lambda$ -calculus**

→ **weak (head) normal form**

$\rightsquigarrow$  a top level abstraction which may have redices in its body

$\rightsquigarrow$  a top level application of an  $n$ -ary abstraction to fewer than  $n$  operands that are in weak normal form

→ **weak normalization** rules out **naming conflicts**

$\rightsquigarrow$  requires only a naive  $\beta$ -reduction (substitution)

→ **full normal form** contains no  $\beta$ -redices

→ **full normalization** requires full-fledged  $\beta$ -reductions, including the resolution of naming conflicts

→ considered too complex, not necessary ... more excuses